

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/055,344	KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia Leith	1654	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Patricia Leith.

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney Joseph A. Kolasch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 January 2005

Time: approx. 4pm EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed the term 'herb medicine' in claim 7 and the lack of antecedent basis with this term. Attorney Kolasch agreed to an examiner's amendment which included 'herb medicine' in claim 1 in order to correct the antecedent problem.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)